,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/068504 RICHARD KELIZAGA		WERCH
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Moy	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Right of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. This communication is responsive to The allowed claim(s) is/are The drawings filed on The	ars on the cover sheet with the (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communicat GHTS. This application is subject and MPEP 1308. der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received.	e correspondence address- application. If not included ion will be mailed in due cour at to withdrawal from issue at	rse. THIS the initiative
* Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give of including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson (b) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the case of the paper No./Mail Date	ENT of this application. Itted. Note the attached EXAMINE is reason(s) why the oath or declar to be submitted. It is patent Drawing Review (PTo Amendment / Comment or in the B4(c)) should be written on the drawe header according to 37 CFR 1.12 sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICeration is deficient. O-948) attached Office action of wings in the front (not the back 1/1d). _ must be submitted. Note	CE OF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview Summa Paper No./Mail D 7. Examiner's Amen	Date .	

Serial No: 10/068,504

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 9-22, drawn to a foldable container with specific fastening mechanism, classified in class 220 subclass 7.
- II. Claims 23-35, drawn to a foldable container with a general fastening mechanism operable either inside or outside the container wall with a specific type of wall structure, classified in class 220 subclass 660.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the elastic spring element contained within the fastening mechanism. The subcombination has separate utility such as it can be used with any sidewall structure inside or outside a container.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received the action of merit on claims 9-22, therefore invention I has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Claims 23-35 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Furthermore, since invention I, namely claims 9-22 are allowable, claims 23-35 have been canceled.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the references shows a fastening mechanism having a bolt and elastic spring located within the fastening mechanism.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Joseph Man-Fu Moy Primary Examiner

Examiner: J. Moy

(703) 308-1145

05/07/04